

WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/964,470 09/28/2001 Phillip McGee 114293-3000 1756 30734 7590 11/18/2003 **EXAMINER BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP** WALLING, MEAGAN S WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2863
DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/964,470	MCGEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Meagan S Walling	2863
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 C	October 2003 .	
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)□,All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· —	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2863

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 4, 5, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the use of a digital automotive tester is not described in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tambini (US 5,581,042) in view of DeMartelaere et al. (US 4,845,998).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 1, Tambini teaches an apparatus that measures the angle of rotation applied to a fastener by a tool beyond a specific reference point (column 1, lines 51-55), the apparatus comprising a shaft (Fig. 1, Ref. 4), and an angle indicator (Fig. 1, Ref. 17).

Regarding claim 2, Tambini teaches an angle selector adjustable to a desired angle (column 1, lines 57-58); an angle rate sensor that measures the speed (column 1, lines 54-55) and direction (column 3, lines 19-20) of the rotation applied; a processor that calculates a current angle of rotation from the rate sensor measurements (column 1, lines 53-55; column 2, lines 46-47); a zero point indicator that sets a zero point for the processor to calculate the selected angle (column 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding claim 3, Tambini teaches that the zero point is a reference point for the processor to calculate a selected angle (column 1, lines 51-55).

Regarding claim 9, Tambini teaches means for measuring an angle of rotation of the fastener (column 2, lines 42-43), the means for applying comprising a shaft (Fig. 1, Ref. 4); means for measuring the angle of rotation of the fastener from a fixed reference point (column 1, lines 51-55); and means for displaying the current angle of rotation (Fig. 1, Ref 17).

Regarding claim 10, Tambini teaches means for selecting a desired angle of rotation (column 1, lines 57-58), means for sensing data from the rate and speed of the rotation being applied to the fastener (column 1, lines 54-55), means for calculating the angle of rotation from the data (column 1, lines 53-55; column 2, lines 46-47), means for indicating a zero point from which the means for calculating basis the angle measurements (column 2, lines 40-42), and means for indicating the current angle as determined by the means for calculating (column 2, lines 46-47).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 11, Tambini teaches means for applying torque to a fastener (column 1, lines 49-50).

Regarding claim 12, Tambini teaches measuring the angle of rotation as applied to the fastener by a tool (column 2, lines 42-43); and displaying the current angle of rotation (column 2, lines 46-47) with an angle indicator (Fig. 1, Ref. 17).

Regarding claim 13, Tambini teaches selecting a desired angle using and angle selector on an apparatus comprising an angle selector (column 1, lines 53-54), an angle rate sensor (column 1, lines 54-55), a processor (column 2, lines 44-45), a zero point indicator (Fig. 2, Ref. 7), and an angle indicator (column 2, lines 46-47); indicating a zero point to the processor (column 2, lines 41-42); applying torque to the fastener with a tool to which the apparatus is attached to rotate the fastener (column 1, lines 49-50); measuring the rate and speed of the rotation with the angle rate sensor starting from the zero point (column 1, lines 53-55; column 2, lines 43-44); and calculating an angle of rotation using the processor (column 2, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 14, Tambini teaches that the processor indicates that it has accepted the zero point (column 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 15, Tambini teaches alerting that the desired selected angle of rotation has been reached (column 4, lines 46-48).

Regarding claim 16, Tambini teaches a tool that applies torque to a fastener (column 1, lines 49-50); an apparatus that measures the angle of rotation beyond a specific reference point (column 2, lines 42-43) the apparatus configured to fit between the tool (Fig. 2, Ref. 1) and the fastener (Fig. 2, Ref. 9); and an angle indicator (Fig. 1, Ref. 17).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 17, Tambini teaches an angle selector adjustable to a desired angle (column 1, lines 57-58); an angle rate sensor that measures the speed (column 1, lines 54-55) and direction (column 3, lines 19-20) of the rotation applied; a processor that calculates a current angle of rotation from the rate sensor measurements (column 1, lines 53-55; column 2, lines 46-47); a zero point indicator that sets a zero point for the processor to calculate the selected angle (column 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding claim 18, Tambini teaches that the tool comprises a ratchet (column 3, lines 18-19).

Tambini does not teach that the angle indicator is located apart from and linked to the apparatus or that the shaft is configured to be positioned between the tool and the fastener (claims 1, 9, 12, and 16).

DeMartelaere et al. teaches that the angle indicator (Fig. 1, Ref. 86) is located apart from and linked to the apparatus (Fig. 1, Ref. 10) and that the shaft (Fig. 1, Ref. 17) is positioned between the tool (Fig. 1, Ref. 10) and the fastener (Fig. 1, Ref. 20).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Tambini with the teachings of DeMartelaere et al. to position the angle indicator apart from and linked to the apparatus and the shaft between the tool and the fastener. The motivation for doing so would be to be able to use the tool in a small area so the fastener can reach in a tight space without the rest of the apparatus getting in the way. Also, the display could be more easily read if the tool was located in a small space if it were located apart from the rest of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 2863

3. Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tambini in view of DeMartelaere et al. and further in view of Stanis (US 5,095,746).

Together Tambini and DeMartelaere et al teach all the limitations of claims 6 and 20 except the limitation that the angle selector is a potentiometer.

Stanis teaches using a potentiometer for entering a maximum angle (column 3, lines 56-59).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Tambini and DeMartelaere et al with the teachings of Stanis to use a potentiometer as an angle selector. A potentiometer can be used for manually entering a desired angle, so it would be obvious to use it as an angle selector.

4. Claims 7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tambini in view of DeMartelaere et al. and further in view of Stanis and Suzuki et al (US 4,308,779).

Together Tambini and DeMartelaere et al. teach everything claimed in claims 7 and 21 except that the angle selector is a resistance ladder.

Stain teaches that a potentiometer can be used as an angle selector (column 3, lines 56-59). Suzuki et al. teaches that a potentiometer can be in the form of a resistance ladder (column 15, lines 64-64).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Tambini and DeMartelaere et al. with the teachings of Stanis and Suzuki et al. to use a resistance ladder as an angle indicator. As already shown, a potentiometer

Art Unit: 2863

can be used as an angle selector. Since a potentiometer can be in the form of a resistance ladder, a resistance ladder can also be used as an angle selector.

5. Claims 8 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tambini in view of DeMartelaere et al. and further in view of Chastel et al. (US 5,571,971).

Together Tambini and DeMartelaere et al. teach all the limitations of claims 8 and 22 except the limitation that the processor is a microcontroller.

Chastel et al. teaches using a microcontroller as a processor to perform calculations (column 9, lines 48-49).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Tambini and DeMartelaere et al. with the teachings of Chastel et al. to use a microcontroller as a processor. A microcontroller can be used to make calculations quickly and so using a microcontroller would expedite the process.

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tambini in view of DeMartelaere et al. and further in view of Codrington (US 6,345,436).

Together Tambini and DeMartelaere et al. teach all the limitations of claim 19 except the limitation that the tool comprises a socket.

Codrington teaches a torque tool comprising a socket (Fig. 1, Ref. 24).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Tambini and DeMartelaere et al. with the teachings of Codrington to

Art Unit: 2863

form a torque tool with a socket. The socket can be used to tighten a fastener such as a screw or bolt and therefore it could be used to find the angle of rotation when tightening the fastener.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see paper 6, filed October 2, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 4, 5, 23, and 24 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the fact that there is no support for these claims in the specification.

Applicant's arguments, see paper 6, filed October 2, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 16 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the addition of the DeMartelaere et al. reference. This reference teaches the shaft placed between the fastener and the tool and it also teaches that the angle indicator is located apart from the tool and linked to the apparatus. Therefore, this reference has been used to reject amended claims 1, 9, and 12 in addition to claim 16.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S Walling whose telephone number is (703) 308-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

Art Unit: 2863

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (703) 308-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

msw

Supervisory Pater it Examiner Technology Center 2600